

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,582	09/15/2000	Colin Jarvis	200-0549	1978
28395 . 7	590 04/06/2004		EXAM	INER
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL			MCCLELLAN, JAMES S	
1000 TOWN CENTER 22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			3627	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/662,582	JARVIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
•	James S McClellan	3627	10111
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply			e address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered HS from the mailing date of t	this communication.
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>16 October 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-32</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-32</u> is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>18, and 21-32</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the same of the s	a) accepted or b) objected to b ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(as) is objected to. See 3	7 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this Natio	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O-948) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application	(PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/662,582

Art.Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Applicant's submittal of an amendment was entered on October 16, 2003, wherein:

claims 18-32 are pending;

claims 26-32 have been withdrawn by the Examiner (see below - paragraph #2);

claims 1-17 have been canceled; and

claims 18-32 have been added.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 26-32 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Original claims 1-17 and new claims 18-25 are directed to a method of conducting a financial analysis. Claims 26-32 are directed to a computer system. The computer system of claims 26-32 can be used for purposes of other than the method required in claim 18. For example, the projection engine and tools may be used to calculate data other than automotive financial analysis.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 26-32 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,989,141 (hereinafter "Lyons") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,233,533 (hereinafter "Edstrom").

Regarding claim 18, Lyons discloses a computer-implemented method of financial analysis (see column 2, lines 11-12), the method comprising: receiving a plurality of data (see column 2, lines 16-22) relating to manufacturing and sales including operating cost data and balance sheet data; generating specific financial data based on the operating cost data and balance sheet data; receiving the specific data into a financial projection engine (computer processor; see column 4, lines 1-15) having a plurality of financial tools for calculating one or more results, the tools including: an aggregation/average tool for calculating an aggregate or average of the specific data (see column 9, lines 1-3); an exchange tool for converting currency amounts (see column 3, lens 19-20); and a variance tool for calculating a variance (see column 17, lines 5-32); and displaying one or more of the results calculated by the financial projection engine (see column 4, lines 14-15); [claim 21] the variance calculation is additionally based on view type information, time period information, line item information, one or more issue numbers, one or more casual factor rules, volume information and product definition information (see column 17, lines 5-32 for various variance calculations); [claim 22] the calculated variance

Application/Control Number: 09/662,582 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

includes a product specific variance (it is inherent the variance may be calculated for any data, including a specific product data); [claim 23] the calculated variance includes a variance for a given period (see column 4, lines 25-28; "Periods"); [claim 24] the calculated variance includes a total variance for a requested line item (see column 17, lines 30-32); [claim 25] receiving user input defining one or more forecast assumptions and one or more physical assumptions, wherein or more of the results are calculated based on one or more the assumptions (see column 2, lines 43-45, "forecast").

Lyons fails to expressly disclose all known financial analysis tools including volume extension tools and allocation tools.

Edstrom teaches the use of a financial analysis tool that utilizes volume extension and allocation tools (see column 5, lines 26-34; column 6, lines 3-20, and column 7, lines 39-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lyons with the volume extension and allocation tools of Edstrom, because the volume extension and allocation tools provide additional sources of analysis to optimize a business.

Additionally, it is noted that Lyons and Edstrom are capable of being used in the automotive industry.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 5, final paragraph, Applicant argues that Edstrom discloses "volume extension", but it is not an extension of vehicle-related financial data. As Applicant states, Edstrom's extension tools is used to calculate product availability to meet customer demand and minimize manufacturing waste. Minimizing manufacturing waste is related to financial data and therefore meets the limitations in the claim.

On page 6, first paragraph, Applicant argues that Edstrom's allocation tool is related to resource allocation. Again, resources have a financial component and therefore is considered financial data.

As set forth above, Lyons discloses an aggregation tool and a variance tool (see column 9, lines 1-3 and column 17, lines 5-32). These tools may be used to calculate averages or variances for any data input into the system, including vehicle specific automotive data.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/662,582

Art Unit: 3627

Page 6

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or

(703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan

Primary Examiner

A.U. 3627

ism; April 4, 2004